

# 2<sup>nd</sup> ENVRI-FAIR Policy Workshop

February 8, 2022 - part of the ENVRI Week 2022 activities

## Agenda and collaborative notes

*Presenters & Facilitators:* Ari Asmi, Keith Jeffery, Maria Johnsson, Maggie Hellström

*Participants:* <name & RI>

Francesco De Leo (CNR/Iret)  
Isatou Sarr (DIAMONDS project, MRCG@LSHTM)  
Helen Glaves (EPOS / ENVRI-FAIR WP4)  
George Petihakis (HCMR/GROOM II)  
Mairi Best (Consultant)  
Ines Thelen (ENVRI Management)  
Angeliki Adamaki (ULUND/ICOS)  
Maggie Hellström (ICOS)  
Dina Eparkhina (EuroGOOS)  
Guillaume Brissebrat (ACTRIS)  
Irina Stanciu (DANUBIUS-RI, GeoEcoMar)  
Claudio Dema (CNR/ACTRIS)  
Katrín Seemeyer (FZJ)  
Ilkka Matero (SIOS)  
Giovanna Maracchia (INGV/EPOS ERIC)  
Serge Scory (SeaDataNet & DiSSCo)  
Lara Ferrighi (SIOS)  
Florian Haslinger (EPOS / Seismology)  
Anca Hienola (ENVRI-FAIR)  
Sylvie Pouliquen (Euro-Argo ERIC)  
Luc van Dick (Euro-Argo ERIC)

### Useful links:

- [Draft Policy Framework](#)
- [ENVRI Policy Framework \(grouped for WS\)](#)
- [Participant feedback form](#)
- [Mentimeter session one](#)
- [Mentimeter session two](#)
- [Slides](#)
- Breakout group documents: see [below](#)

### Draft agenda:

In total, the workshop will take 3 hours, starting at 09:00 CET

- Introduction (20 min)

- Menti (motivation, position, interest on policies)
- Why policies
- Policies vs. Practice vs. tech
- Drivers in this framework, policies we do not discuss (yet)
- From drivers to policy statements
- Overview of framework
- Today and future directions (initial)
- Example policy statement with example discussion with Keith, Maria and Maggie (10 min)
- Questions and answers (10 min)

### **Break (10 min)**

- Explanation of first group work - general discussion
  - Discussion on the framework, necessity, format in general in 4 groups (20 min)
  - Feedback (10 min)

### **Break (20 min)**

- Second group work - specific policy statements (45 min)
- Return comments (15 min)
- Future directions ( incl. menti) (20 min)

## **Breakout #1**

Participants are divided into 4 moderated BO rooms. Some idea of questions:

- Is the format suitable and useful?
- Do you think this is a clear way to present information?
- Is the documentation clear and enough for implementation?
- What should be improved? Need for more details, suggestions?
- Is the derivation from requirements clear?
- Do you see clear difficulties in using the templates?
- General comments?

## **Materials for breakout #2**

For this breakout, participants can select themselves which group to join!

- Group 1 - Acces-related policies (facilitator: Ari Asmi)
  - [Collab notes](#)
  - [Policy statements](#)
  - [Requirements \(for reference\)](#)
- Group 2 - Admin & organisation-related policies (facilitator: Keith Jeffery)
  - [Collab notes](#)

- [Policy statements](#)
- [Requirements \(for reference\)](#)
- Group 3 - Metadata-related policies (facilitator: Maria Johnsson)
  - [Collab notes](#)
  - [Policy statements](#)
  - [Requirements \(for reference\)](#)
- Group 4 - Data-related policies (facilitator: Maggie Hellström)
  - [Collab notes](#)
  - [Policy statements](#)
  - [Requirements \(for reference\)](#)

## Notes

.....

Problem with policy statements: as they tend to be / have to be rather general, they are very open for differences in understanding (often one needs to read the practices document to understand the 'context conditions' of the policy statements)



## Group discussion ideas for specific policies

- Is this a realistic supposition in your RI?
- Do you already have this kind of policy?
- Does the statement answer to requirements?
- Is the wording clear, do you agree with it?
- Do you have issues with policy or how to apply it (practices, technologies)?
- Are the guidance notes useful?
- Etc..

